

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



Ernest M. Espinoza,

Complainant,

vs.

AT&T Communications of California, Inc.,

Defendant.

ECP

Case No. 04-12-018

Certified Mail

7002 3150 0002 0527 1245

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANSWER AND HEARING NOTICE

AT & T Communications of California, Inc.
Attn.: Teresa Ono
795 Folsom Street, Room 2147
San Francisco, CA 94107

Ernest M. Espinoza
6475 Cypress Avenue
Winton, CA 95388

To Defendant and Complainant:

The Defendant is hereby notified that the above-entitled complaint was filed against you as defendant on December 22, 2004. You are directed to answer the complaint in writing within 20 days after today, pursuant to Resolution ALJ-163. The answer shall be in compliance with Rule 13.1 of the Commission's "Rules of Practice and Procedure." Your answer shall be sent to California Public Utilities Commission, Attn.: Docket Office, 505 Van Ness Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

The Assigned Administrative Law Judge will set a date and time of hearing after reviewing the Answer of defendant.

Dated at San Francisco, California this 23rd day of December, 2004.

Angela K. Minkin
Chief Administrative Law Judge

AM/pcg
Enclosures: Complaint, Rules 13.1, and Resolution ALJ-163

cc via email only, w/o copy of encls.: ALJ Patrick and Calendar Clerk

13.1. (Rule 13.1) Contents of Answers.

The answer must admit or deny each material allegation in the complaint and shall set forth any new matter constituting a defense. Its purpose is to fully advise the complainant and the Commission of the nature of the defense. It should also set forth any defects in the complaint which require amendment or clarification. Failure to indicate jurisdictional defects does not waive these defects and shall not prevent a motion to dismiss made thereafter.